

CoViD ... FACTS MATTER

Once more a discussion about CoViD. My discussion partner brought up a handful of examples of people - triple vaxxed - who had CoViD; or a whole bunch of people they know who had CoViD, but nobody got it severely. The insinuation: The vaccines don't work; and anyway, CoViD is not all that bad. I wish to make this point: FACTS MATTER. I have a definitive statement about CoViD as I understand it. To begin with: I do not rely on hearsay; I do not subscribe to 'alternative facts' from YouTube or inform myself on social media ... all to avoid mis- and dis-information. Besides, I do not do my own research, because I haven't got the foggiest about the science; I simply would not know what I'm looking at. I just rely on experts in the fields of immunology and epidemiology. Where then do I get my information from? I use what conspiracy theorists call 'The Mainstream Media', esp. THE CONVERSATION (academic rigour ... journalistic flair). So this is my stance:

Over the past 100 years our life expectancy has doubled. This is mainly for two reasons: 1) life-style changes, i.e. access to clean water, better nutrition, hygiene, working conditions; 2) new, better and efficient medical interventions ... above all vaccinations. Vaccines have been called the most successful medical intervention in human history. Dozens of vaccines were developed since the Second World War, which have eradicated or mitigated many diseases. Do medicines - including vaccines - save lives? Of course they do ... in fact just this century two to three hundred million lives have been saved by vaccinations; and vaccines are recognized to be extremely safe, including the various CoViD vaccines. And yes, some people will experience side effects (0.02%), like with many other meds ... but they're small compared to the good vaccines do. Hesitators say, "vaccines are poison". Well, of course they are ... just like all other meds (see below).

In regard to lock-down mandates: CoViD deaths in Sweden (18,365) were 3 x as many as in Australia (6,383), while Aus has 2.5 x Sweden's population. Sweden had a 'no-lock-down' policy: "We saved our economy, but too many died. Other countries should not emulate our strategy" ... had Australia done so, the death toll could well have been: (3 x 2.5 x 6,383 =) 47,872; another calculation: [(25 : 10 =) 2.5 x 18,365 =] 45,912. Without lock-downs Australia could have had tens of thousands of CoViD deaths ... an indication that Australia's handling of the pandemic was successful; btw, without vaccines the death toll would have been 10 x again. Also, in 2019 ca. 812 people died from the flu in Australia; CoViD deaths: 6,383 (over two years). In the USA the flu death rate averaged 32,000 annually over the past ten years, against close to a million deaths over two years from CoViD. Some say CoViD is just another flu ... yeah, right.

Looking back after two and a half years of CoViD, there's more to the story; indeed, that was then, but this is now ... google: Did Sweden's controversial COVID strategy pay off?

One very popular point: "I don't want that poison in my body!" However, you have your children inoculated against measles, mumps, rubella and diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (whooping cough) as well as polio, hepatitis, chickenpox etc before they go to kindy or school ... and you do get shots against cholera, tuberculosis, typhoid etc if you wish to travel to some third world countries, don't you?! But you do *not* want *that* poison injected into your body? Tell me about it ... I'm a diabetic, I have injected 'poison' into me twice a day for twenty five years. Hadn't I done so I would have died last century. Tell a cancer sufferer about it. And when you're injured in a car crash, all sorts of stuff is injected to save your life; do you ask the paramedic, "xcuse me, what's that stuff you're administering?" Anyway, are meds - are vaccines - poison? *Of course they are*; I'd die with too much insulin. But are they good for us? Used correctly, yes.

But, *but, but* "don't you know, they're lying to us". Who's 'they'? Well, "the Government ... and 'The Mainstream Media'." But who exactly is lying? The Health Minister? The Prime Minister? A spokesperson - who briefs the spokesperson? And who in the mainstream media are the ones lying? Are 'they' the journalists? Is it Rupert Murdoch ... who tells his editors: "Report vaccinations are required to beat CoViD; but *DO NOT* tell the truth that it would be better to take a substance commonly used for infections in horses, which are caused by mites and worms. *You must suppress that information.*" And then Murdoch - although he knows better - convinces other media professionals to report that un-truth. So the question is, do they pass on mis-information unknowingly? Or do they use dis-information, where they know they're lying? Do they lie by omission, where they just omit information? How does this work? We must get to the bottom of this.